



**CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**6:00pm, Tuesday, October 25, 2022**  
**1207 Palm Boulevard, Isle of Palms, SC and**  
**broadcasted live on YouTube: <https://www.youtube.com/user/cityofisleofpalms>**

**MINUTES**

**1. Call to order**

Present: Council members Hahn, Bogosian, Anderson (via Zoom), Ward, Streetman, Popson, Miars, Pierce, and Mayor Pounds

Staff Present: Administrator Fragoso, City Attorney Halversen, various department heads

**2. Citizens' Comments**

Al Clouse of Wild Dunes gave a detailed description of the daily noise violations in his neighborhood. He shared that some of the speakers he mentioned at the last meeting have been removed, but he wants all of them gone. He does not believe recording decibels are the answer to the noise problem. He thinks if there is noise loud enough to bother someone, it is too loud.

Elizabeth Campsen spoke about short-term rentals. Her comments are attached to these minutes.

Howard Hough or "Beach Santa" spoke about a recent beach sweep where he picked up hundreds of cigarette butts. He said the butt cannisters are making a difference. He suggested giving the trashcans at the Front Beach a thorough cleaning so as to make keeping the beach clean a good experience for everyone.

**3. Special Presentations**

**A. Consideration of Signal 30 Award to Harold Hirshmann for saving neighbor's life**

Mayor Pounds shared the meaning of the Signal 30 Award and how Mr. Hirshmann came to be nominated for the award.

**MOTION: Council Member Ward made a motion to approve giving the Signal 30 Award to Mr. Howard Hirshmann. Council Member Streetman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

**B. Presentation of Short-Term Rental Listening Sessions Report – Rick Bradley**

Mr. Rick Bradley who facilitated the recent short-term rental listening sessions gave the report of his findings to City Council. All of the information recorded on the flip charts at each session has been digitized and is available for review.

He reviewed the questions asked during the sessions and shared the most popular answers for each question. The most popular answers to the final question, “What is the biggest message you want to give to City Council?”, were start with the enforcement of current short-term rental rules and regulations and strengthen those where needed; focus on quality of life and livability; protect property rights; no strong support for caps/zones; and there are bigger issues to tackle.

Mr. Bradley said, “If you look across all five of the questions, I saw the three listening sessions as a lively debate with property rights and livability being two of the dominate things, and stronger enforcement of short-term rental rules being a priority for those that participated over caps and zones.”

Council Member Streetman referred to the recent survey conducted by Barrier Island Preservation Association (BIPA) noting that a significant majority of their respondents want rental caps, which is very different from the outcomes of the short-term rental listening sessions.

Mr. Bradley said that there is a lack of understanding throughout the community about what caps and zones mean.

Council Member Pierce said everyone needs to be mindful of the fact that the island may be becoming a rental community with residents instead of a residential community with renters.

Council Member Anderson said it is important to know the breakdown of permanent residents, second homeowners, and property owners who participated in the listening sessions. She said those with the most to lose by any change are the ones most motivated to attend such gatherings.

Council Member Hahn said the bump in short-term rental licenses the City is seeing is because people are scared there may be a rental cap implemented. He suggested employing a “some type of a stay on issuing more licenses until such time as Council makes a decision just to stop people from hoarding licenses because they are afraid we may do something.”

#### **4. Approval of previous meetings’ minutes**

- A. City Council Regular Meeting – September 27, 2022
- B. Special City Council Meeting – October 11, 2022
- C. City Council Workshop – October 11, 2022
- D. Special Personnel Committee – October 18, 2022
- E. Public Hearing – October 18, 2022
- F. Special City Council Meeting – October 18, 2022
- G. Special Real Property Committee – October 20, 2022

**MOTION: Council Member Streetman made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Council Member Popson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

**5. Old Business**

**A. Update on efforts to install an elevator in the new marina restaurant**

Mayor Pounds and Administrator Fragoso reported that they are waiting on a viability memo from Trident Construction about the elevator as well as a report from the restaurant about the potential impact of the elevator on restaurant operations. It may be another week before this information is received.

Administrator Fragoso added that City Council passed a motion in November 2021 to use tourism funds to pay for the elevator installation.

**B. Discussion and consideration of proposed changes to the noise ordinance**

City staff continues to work on the draft noise ordinance. Administrator Fragoso said the draft is based off the one currently being used by the Town of Mt. Pleasant. Staff continues to evaluate research into noise ordinances used by similar popular tourist destination communities. She has met with Mr. Al Clouse for his feedback. They are considering having different decibel levels for differing locations and times of the day. She would like feedback from City Council about decibel levels as well as whether or not there needs to be sections about noise from pets and vehicles.

Council Member Ward wanted to know what is being done to help the residents like Mr. Clouse at 57<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Administrator Fragoso said Chief Cornett has had meetings with the residents and instructed them on how to file complaints through the non-emergency number that will dispatch an officer to the area. They are also meeting with Wild Dunes management who have removed four of the speakers near the property line. She will speak to them further about the increased volumes.

Administrator Fragoso said that during her meetings “we agreed to employing a sound engineer to come in sort of as a third party to evaluate sound abatement measures, something that we can require the resort to implement. In addition to that, we are researching, we are looking into other resorts and trying to figure out something that is the right balance” between a commercial property and a residential property.

Council Member Pierce asked if the remaining speakers can be removed and directional speakers be put in place so they are not facing residential areas. Council Member Bogosian agreed and said the speakers should be closer to the pool, adding that Wild Dunes should pay for any sound abatement.

Administrator Fragoso said that Wild Dunes is not opposed to do doing more to alleviate the situation and she will request more of them. She would like to have the sound engineer be a neutral third party. A follow-up meeting is planned for next week.

Chief Cornett stated, “We did pull our calls for service for the hotel for the year, but we pulled those, remember that is managed through Charleston County Consolidated Dispatch, and so how they put those calls in we found actually anything in that vicinity they were putting in for that address, so we were not very confident in all of these numbers. But we did ask them to pull calls

related to disturbances and nuisances because that is how they put them in. The downside to that is that could be a loud noise complaint, that could be somebody who just saw somebody that was outside, and we stopped to go talk to them. But with that, we saw 8 in 2021 in calls of that type and then we saw 21 for 2022 so far this year. In reference to the Clouses, I think their most recent email they were pretty satisfied with our approach and how we are working with them to mitigate these resources. We have officers that worked out at that event, and they are instructed to walk the perimeter continuously to make sure that the sound is not getting out. That is something that started this week, pushing that out to make sure that we are being proactive on our and so that it never has to turn into a complain from a resident. We have shut down other events taking place.”

Chief Cornett also reported on a new portal that will allow residents to file their complaints online and it will immediately be routed to the officers on duty and the Code Enforcement officer. This will provide the department with better tracking information. He also reported that the City is having trouble filling the part-time Code Enforcement position and are looking for ways to make that more position attractive. Administrator Fragoso reminded Council that there are only 3 officers on duty at one time and they need to prioritize their calls.

City Council members agreed there needs to be more work done on this ordinance at the committee level.

**C. Update on Requests for Proposals or City Attorney and Assistant City Attorney**

Council Member Bogosian said the Personnel Committee will interview the three candidates for City Attorney and two for Assistant City Attorney at their meeting on November 1. They will give a recommendation to City Council on November 15.

**D. Consideration of purchase of used Vac Trailer Truck for stormwater**

Assistant Director Asero said the purchase of the vac trailer truck will be helpful in providing a higher and quicker level of maintenance across the island, especially following the installation of 11 new tide valves. The new Stormwater Foreman operated this same truck for the Town of Mt. Pleasant. He said they are sole sourcing this purchase because they could not find one that was compatible.

Administrator Fragoso said they would like to defer the purchase of boom lift and the lease for an excavator to put towards this purchase. She said the difference in cost of approximately \$14,000 will be made up by doing work in house that would have been done by Eadie’s. The City will still contract with Eadie’s at the same amount but will redirect them to other areas with larger infrastructure.

Administrator Fragoso said about the Eadie’s contract, “For this first year, we do think that by redirecting some of the resources with Eadie’s we will get a net savings of that difference between what we have identified in the budget, but we will maintain our budgeted numbers. On an ongoing basis, it’s just that we are going to be able to do more than we have in the past and quicker.”

According to Assistant Director Asero, a comparable new model “was \$138,00 but then you had to add about \$115,000 in for what this machine has on it.”

Administrator Fragoso added, “The trailer is going to be connected to an existing vehicle, so we do not need to purchase a vehicle to attach this. We are going to use one of the ones that the department currently uses for other things. I fully recommend approval and request approval by this Council.”

**MOTION: Council Member Ward made a motion to approve, and Council Member Hahn seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

**6. New Business**

**A. Consideration of award of a contract to Bury’s Footers for an amount of \$39,405 to construct a new enclosure for the dumpsters at Front Beach**

**MOTION: Council Member Ward made a motion to approve, and Council Member Hahn seconded the motion.**

Administrator Fragoso said, “We included in our FY23 budget, there is \$100,000 that is earmarked in our hospitality fund. The \$39,000 will definitely come from that \$100,000, and we will still have some monies left for the installation of an odor control system to help with the smell and help us maintain an ongoing weekly cleaning of the enclosure and have an exterminator come in and help us deal with the rat population.” She added that several people responded to the RFP. The enclosure will be built in the offseason.

**VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.**

**B. Discussion of recommendation from the Real Property Committee for parking layout Option C for the Intracoastal side of the marina to eliminate shared parking area and establish City parking and greenspace area and consolidate/expand Islander 71’s exclusive parking lot. Additionally, to request Matt Cline to develop another alternative to review that increases boat trailer parking in what would be the City parking lot**

Council Member Streetman reviewed what was presented at the Real Property Committee and why his preference is Option C, which has the most resident trailer parking availability (10 spots).

Administrator Fragoso said that Matt Cline did present another option today, Option G, which has not been reviewed by the Committee or Islander 71. This option has 7 trailer parking spaces and two entrances. She would like some direction from Council for what numbers of car parking and trailer parking spaces they would like at the marina. She noted that if there is no agreement on a final parking layout, then the lot will remain as it is.

Council Member Miars would like to hear what Islander 71 thinks of Option G. She would like to have the matter sent back to the Real Property Committee for further discussion. Mayor Pounds said he believes they are close to agreeing on an option and that Option G appears to “ring all the bells.”

Council Member Hahn would like to see separate entrances “so that we don’t have disagreements and arguments in the future about anything being shared.

Council Member Miars explained the safety issues noted by Mr. Cline in Option C that were fixed in Options E and G. She also believes Options E and G provide a larger space for residents.

Council Member Anderson explained her preference for Option C in that it provides for more resident parking and allows for future development of greenspace that could include kayak storage. She said, “Don’t design the parking lot for the busiest day of the year.”

Administrator Fragoso pointed out that more car and trailer parking could become available to residents when the ditch at 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue is piped over. Council Member Ward expressed concern that the first thing people see upon entering the parking lot is the dumpster area.

The matter will return to the Real Property Committee where Option G will be discussed in further detail.

**C. Discussion of Recommendation from the Real Property Committee to approve proposed amendment from Islander 71 to have exclusive rights to obtain a liquor license for the service of on-premises liquor, spirits, or mixed drinks**

Mayor Pounds said this item, if approved, will go into a lease amendment along with the parking agreement. Council Member Streetman explained that Islander 71 is asking to have a lease amendment to allow for them to have exclusivity for the sale of liquor by the drink. He noted that Mr. Schuler’s lease limits him to the sale of beer and wine only. The Real Property Committee recommends this request be added to the lease.

Council Member Hahn said, “Currently what we have is a marina lease that says you are going to do beer and wine only, and we have the restaurant lease. By adding this exclusion or this extra protection, I guess, for lack of a better word, to the restaurant, I don’t see that a, that the City is getting anything for that, and b, it is not really changing the current status quo. But what it is doing is we have got leases that are very long, 20+ years, and we do not know what may happen five years, ten years, fifteen years. So I would rather do nothing because it is currently in our hands as to whether or not somebody is going to serve liquor at the marina or not or anywhere else on that property. That is in our hand right now. So why muddy the waters by adding another layer to a lease when we don’t know what the future may bring?”

Administrator Fragoso said of the potential amendment, “It would only be a section. All we have is the original proposal that included a number of other amendments. There is only one section under 7.02 that you all would be considering, and it would be limited to the license that would allow them to have liquor including canned liquor...The restaurant would be the only one allowed to do that. But currently, the marina leases that were approved recently only allowed beer and wine. So if that doesn’t change, I think the status quo stays, but the restaurant is asking for an exclusive clause included in their lease.”

**MOTION:** Council Member Bogosian made a motion “to amend the Islander 71 lease to have exclusive rights for the service of on-premises liquor, spirits and mixed drinks.”

This being an item for discussion only, Administration Fragoso said any motion made today would be directional to ask our legal counsel to draft the proposed amendments. This proposed amendment and the parking agreement could be voted on separately or together. The matter will return to Council when the parking issue is agreed upon.

Council Member Ward said he also did not agree the City should “handcuff” itself in a long lease.

Administrator Fragoso said the amendment would “protect them from having a direct competition should you all decide to expand what is allowed in the marina store.”

**D. Discussion and consideration of proposals to bulkhead repair**

Mayor Pounds said four bids were received for this project. Staff is recommending the bid from Blue Tide.

Administrator Fragoso reiterated the scope of the project: “This would include the expansion, extension of the existing two-foot boardwalk, wooden boardwalk that is along the bulkhead so that we can create a pedestrian boardwalk all along the intercoastal side of the marina. It does include a component of repairs that are necessary to effectuate that extension. It would be 6’ wide IPE boardwalk all along that section of the marina. The recommendation is to go with Blue Tide. We have experience with them. They do really good work. They are properly licensed. The amount is \$288,000. This is not an item that is specifically budgeted in our FY23 budget, but the dock rehabilitation project that we just completed has a \$290,000 approximately contingency that was not used. We have closed that project, and you remember that we issued bonds for that project. So any excess of funds need to be used in marina-type activities, marina improvements, so we would recommend that we use that contingency number to conduct these repairs and expand and create that boardwalk all along the intercoastal.”

The goal is to have the project completed in the offseason.

**MOTION: Council Member Pierce made a motion to approve, and Council Member Miars seconded the motion.**

Council Member Popson pointed out this is a repair project. It is not a replacement of the bulkhead. Administrator Fragoso will look into the warranty on the work.

**VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.**

**E. Discussion and consideration of Public Relations and Tourism Coordinator Job description to cost share with the Charleston Area Visitors Bureau**

Mayor Pounds reminded Council that the idea for this came out of recent conversation with the Charleston Visitor’s Bureau. He referred to the draft job description in the meeting packet. He said, “Just to be clear, this role will be an IOP employee reporting to our City Administrator with a cost share with CVB.”

Administrator Fragoso said the position will be 55% public relations work and 45% tourism-related work. The 45% portion of the salary will come from the 30% of ATAX funds received by

the CVB. That person will work at the CVB one day per week “to be fully immersed with their staff, their events, their resources, and we can leverage those working for IOP. They would be the direct liaison with the CVB, the Chamber of Commerce, and other tourism-related activities.”

The estimated cost of the position is approximately \$96,000, of which the City will be responsible for \$60,000 fully loaded, and that \$60,000 is included in the FY23 budget although it was originally earmarked to outsource the public relations work. This person will be attending all ATAX Committee meetings.

**MOTION: Council Member Ward made a motion to approve the job description. Council Member Hahn seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.**

**F. Discussion of implementing a cost-of-living adjustment for City staff**

Mayor Pounds presented some options for a cost-of-living adjustment for City staff including a one-time COLA, a 2.5% COLA, and a 5% COLA. He is looking for direction from City Council about these options.

Administrator Fragoso pointed out that the Wage & Compensation Study brought city employees salaries to where they should have been. She added that the Town of Mt. Pleasant has provided a 2% COLA.

Discussion ensued about the options. Mayor Pounds said they would run some more numbers on the effects of the COLA options with current employee counts. He prefers a lower one-time COLA with a lower annual COLA. He would like to have Council approve something at the November 15 meeting so that it can be implemented before the end of the year.

Administrator Fragoso said, “We are trying to find out what the State is doing ahead of time. That is something Council Member Bogosian asked ahead of time. We don’t have it from a COLA perspective. But I do want to imprint on you all the importance of maintaining our compensation philosophy. Because we spent a lot of time working on this report last year, and the philosophy that Council approved at the time was that we wanted to be at market and exceeding market for Public Safety. And if we don’t treat this as something that needs ongoing maintenance, we will find ourselves behind again, and then we will need to make maybe a big chunk adjustment at that time like we did last year. I think anything is very well appreciated. I know we are balancing a lot of things at the same time. But we are seeing some turnover in some of our departments, and people are really leaving for a couple thousand dollars here and there.”

**G. Discussion and consideration of recommendation from the Personnel Committee for Council to rescind Resolution 2022-08**

Council Member Bogosian reported that the Personnel Committee recently met to discuss this item. He read from Robert’s Rules of Order about the process of dealing with a conduct violation. He said City Council did not conduct an investigation as dictated by Robert’s Rules or Order nor did it appoint a committee to determine if a charge was warranted. He said that since essential steps were not followed and he believes it will be a “lose-lose-lose situation” for the City, the Personnel Committee recommends the resolution be rescinded.



**MOTION: Council Member Pierce made a motion to rescind Resolution 2022-08. Council Member Anderson seconded the motion.**

Council Member Streetman said he watched the Personnel Committee meeting and agrees there needs to be a process in place going forward. He reminded Council that they voted in favor of having a hearing at the September 13 meeting and should honor that vote.

Council Member Hahn also agrees there needs to be a fair process. He believes the matter should be referred to outside counsel similar to the way Charlotte and Mt. Pleasant handle such instances.

Council Member Miars said, “Thank you so much to the Personnel Committee for looking into this in a professional, organized manner, for reading Robert’s Rules of Order. It is a fun book to read, and for paying attention to the fact that we do have Robert’s Rules of Order inherent in our City Code and our Code of Conduct. I would also like to thank all the people that have overwhelmingly supported me on this issue. I do not think that a public hearing would be best for the City. Council Member Streetman mentioned the fact that I did vote on the public hearing, and that is because I had already been determined to be guilty by members of this Council both on social media and in other ways. Since then, the Post & Courier, via The Moultrie News, has also determined my guilt. This process has been done so poorly. Almost every aspect of Robert’s Rules of how to conduct a proper investigation has been violated. So if we want to talk about dealing with violations of the Code of Conduct, Council Member Streetman and Council Member Hahn, I am more than happy to have those conversations as long as all potential violations of the Code of Conduct are dealt with. So unfortunately, I did not want to go there tonight. I thought we were just going to discuss this one issue which is the fact that the process was wrong, and so therefore, we should not be voting on a hearing at this point in time. What gets done in the future to address the process and all of the mistakes we have made regarding this Code of Conduct, I believe, are for a later date. However, I now feel that I have to defend myself again. So I am all for having an unbiased, impartial third party conduct an investigation, but again, that needs to be for all potential violations of our Code of Conduct. I would strongly recommend that we go with the recommendation of the Personnel Committee and rescind the public hearing at this point.”

Council Member Pierce urged Council to go forward with rescinding the resolution. He believes a hearing will create irreparable fissures among Council members and it would be a spectacle. He asked what good could come out of such a hearing.

Council Member Anderson also would like the resolution to be rescinded so that City Council can receive advice from counsel on how to move forward.

Mayor Pounds asked Council Member Bogosian if the intent is to “pick it back up with a redefined process.”

Council Member Bogosian said, “Yes, in fact staff is drafting some of the discussion. We had a long discussion around what the process should be, and it includes some type of a committee structure first to be able to warrant, to really look at the charges to determine if they are

warranted or not in order to take it to the next step would be a trial. I think the trial, what Brent passed on as a trial process, and the actual trial looks a lot like what is in Robert's Rules. So I think between those two things we will be able to come back to Council with what we view as what would be the process going forward should this ever happen again. But I think, as Scott said, this particular one, we can't go backwards, and it would be very hard in terms of fairness at this point to go forward with this one, and that is why we are suggesting we rescind it. The Personnel Committee will come forward to Council with what the process would be with any future cases like this."

Mayor Pounds asked if the Personnel Committee had any conversation about how to handle a violation of the Code of Conduct. Council Member Bogosian said Robert's Rules defines a process "for if there is a disciplinary case or how you work through it for one of its members." He believes a violation of the Code of Conduct would go through the disciplinary process as defined by Robert's Rules of Order.

Addressing how Charlotte and Mt. Pleasant handle such matters, Council Member Bogosian expressed concern about how independent counsel is selected. He believes the City had more pressing issues to consider at this time.

Council Member Pierce said that issues such as this should be dealt with as they happen and that "what happened back in June" should have been handled then.

When asked by Council Member Ward if the intent is to rescind the resolution and "forget the whole thing," Council Member Bogosian said, "I am asking that we not go forward with any disciplinary hearings or anything else regarding this case."

Council Member Ward asked, "So when we go into Executive Session, are you going to be comfortable in the future that everything that we need to hear from our attorneys or whatever that we can openly discuss and feel comfortable it will not be divulged."

Council Member Bogosian answered, "I do and I will feel just as comfortable if we had some spectacle of a hearing and the outcome was we censure Ms. Miars or whatever the outcome would be. I feel just as comfortable today as after we ended that very long, tedious, ridiculous, embarrassing process and got to a point that I would feel no different. That is what I am saying. The outcome and the result of this is not going to change anything except embarrass the City."

Council Member Miars said, "I am not going to sit up here go through everything, this whole process and defend myself tonight. I do not feel that this is the right place. I do think that we all need to think about ourselves and what we have done and said, and the things that were violations, could have been violations of things that were in Executive Session, items, specific dollar amounts that were posted on social media on June 9<sup>th</sup>. There is blame that goes around here, and I am the one who had the courage to write an article in order to get what I believed out in the open. It was in the public's best interest, which was my oath. And that is another issue. What happens when our Code of Conduct lists certain things that are in conflict with our oath? There have been plenty of things that people have told to their friends that have gotten out in the public that were discussed in Executive Session. So please don't make it seem that I am the only

person that has ever gone down that road. The specific details, if you all believe that we need a hearing, then that is your decision. I don't think it is best for the City. I don't know the solution because we've already gone so far down this wrong path in violation of Robert's Rules of Order which are codified as part of our City Code. We are supposed to follow Robert's Rules of Order and we haven't. So we have already gone down that road. So if we want to start talking about missteps and things that individual Council members have done wrong, that is fine. But we are going to talk about all of them. Not just me. The vote tonight is whether to go forward with the hearing."

Council Member Streetman said he heard threatening language from Council Member Miars. He pointed out that she was one of the people who voted in favor of a hearing on September 13. He does not see how "we can move forward without a hearing" adding there is a credibility issue that needs to be handled.

Council Member Hahn reiterated his request for a third party to be involved "since we have threatening language."

Council Member Miars responded, "I am not understanding what this threatening language is. All I am saying, all I have said tonight is that if we are going to think and look at potential violations of our Code of Conduct, we have to look at all members of Council potential violations of Code of Conduct. That is all I have said. And if you find that threatening, I am sorry, but it is not intended to be threatening. It is just a statement."

Council Member Anderson said she believes this is a procedural problem at this point. She would like the resolution rescinded and "go back to the beginning." She said Robert's Rules of Order is relevant.

Mayor Pounds said he is hearing two different paths – "rescind this and we will go back and start over on this particular issue as opposed to we are skipping this issue and moving onto the next one."

**VOTE:           A vote was taken as follows:**

Ayes: Anderson, Bogosian, Miars, Pierce

Nays: Hahn, Popson, Streetman, Ward, Pounds

**The motion failed 4-5.**

#### **H.       Discussion of Recreation Center visioning**

Mayor Pounds said discussing Council's vision for the Recreation Center as a recreation center versus a community center will help in deciding how to fill Director Page's position.

Administrator Fragoso said from 50 applicants, they will be interviewing 8 this week. She said that the position has been advertised as a Recreation Director, but if Council decides on a community center path, the role as supervisor would not change. They are looking for a leader.

Council members Ward and Streetman would like to see more of a community center focus. Council Member Popson suggested remanding the issue back to the Recreation Committee for

further discussion. Mayor Pounds encouraged residents to comment to City Council with specificity what they would like to see with regards to the Recreation Center.

**MOTION:** Mayor Pounds made a motion to suspend the rules of order to add an item to the agenda: “an emergency purchase request for debris cleanup due to equipment failure and the timeframe to have that equipment repaired.” Council Member Ward seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

**I. Emergency Purchase Request for Debris Cleanup**

Mayor Pounds said it will take a few weeks to repair a frontend loader that failed yesterday. To finish up the debris cleanup, Public Works engaged a contractor to help. The bid in the packet from All Green Landscape in the amount of \$102,500 will be to finish the cleanup of storm debris. The monies will be taken from the Disaster Recovery Fund.

**MOTION:** Council Member Ward made a motion to approve, and Council Member Hahn seconded the motion.

As this storm was not deemed an emergency by the State, the City will need to fully fund this request. Administrator Fragoso said the work will be completed within five days.

**VOTE:** The motion passed unanimously.

**7. Boards and Commissions reports**

- A. **Board of Zoning Appeals** – minutes attached
- B. **Planning Commission** – minutes attached
- C. **Accommodations Tax Advisory Committee** – minutes attached
- D. **Environmental Advisory Committee** – minutes attached

**8. Ordinances, Resolutions, and Petitions**

- A. **Second Reading** – none
- B. **First Reading** – none
- C. **Resolutions and Petitions**

i. **Resolution 2022-10 – A resolution authorizing the consumption of beer and wine, amplified music, and street closures at the Lowvelo Bike Ride on November 5, 2022**

**MOTION:** Council Member Streetman made a motion to approve and to waive the reading. Council Member Hahn seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

ii. **Resolution 2022-11 – A resolution authorizing the consumption of beer and wine, amplified music, and street closures at the Holiday Street Festival on December 3, 2022**

**MOTION:** Council Member Ward made a motion to approve and to waive the reading. Council Member Miars seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

iii. **A Proclamation declaring November 17, 2022 as World Pancreatic Cancer Day**

**MOTION:** Council Member Ward made a motion to approve and to waive the reading. Council Member Hahn seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

9. **Executive Session**

**MOTION:** Mayor Pounds made a motion to move into Executive Session pursuant to:

A. SC Code §30-4-70(a)(2) to receive legal advice related to potential claims concerning alterations to Isle of Palms zoning districts

B. SC Code §30-4-70(a)(2) to receive legal advice related to the constitutionality of S40 and the restriping of the IOP Connector and potential claims

C. SC Code §30-4-70(a)(1) to discuss personnel matters related to the Leola Hanbury “Employee of the Year” Award

Council Member Streetman seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

City Council went into Executive Session at 9:04pm.

City Council returned from Executive session at 10:19pm.

**MOTION:** Council Member Bogosian made a motion to approve the Employee of the Year award as discussed in Executive Session. Council Member Ward seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

10. **Adjournment**

Council Member Ward made a motion to adjourn, and Council Member Streetman seconded the motion. The meeting was adjourned at 10:19pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Nicole DeNeane  
City Clerk