City of Isle of Palms - Public Comments submitted via online public comment form for City Council - November 10, 2022

Date Submitted	Name	Address	Public Comments submitted via online public comment form for City Council - November 10, 2022 Comments for Council Meeting	Meeting This Comment is Intended For:
11/10/2022 4:04 PM	Mr Charles Gilreath	30 Beachwood West, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	As a homeowner and resident of the Isle of Palms, I'd like to request the council vote in favor of adopting all the ordinances now being considered relating to development on the island.	City Council
11/9/2022 6:46 PM	Glenda Nemes	3006 Cameron Blvd, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Note the Vacancies: https://www.scdot.org/inside/inside-commission.aspx	City Council
			Council has opportunity to work in Columbia, with lobbyists we hired, Campsen, and Bustos to get involved with Governor's appointments of pro IOP homerule Transportation Commissioners. Robbie Robbins seat and two at large seats are vacant. Oh There's terms may expire at end of year. This could change the balance.	
			Robbins, our last rep, is now moving over to an elected House position. He did a "love fest" for Christy Hall when we were fighting S. 40. Then he showed up at the Governors private fundraiser here uninvited last summer. And that was after he told IOP Citizens who wrote him to not support S. 40 and what Hall and Grooms we're doing or had done to our Connector and easements, violating constitutional rights. Robbins told us he didn't care what we thought So these three appointed positions could been a crucial part of the future of IOP's roads, easements and bridges. Please Counciltry to get appointments that will support us instead of the egregious situation we have been in with the Transportation Commissioners	
			Be Proactive, not reactive. I have done my part as a resident to personally tell Governor McMaster about Robbie Robbins egregious lack of representation, I am contacting our House Rep. I am making people aware of an opportunity and making Council Aware. Please do not fail to be proactive. Future of our roads, easements and bridge are important	
11/9/2022 1:06 PM	Mrs Susan Byron Keyser	10 Ocean Point Dr, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Vote YES to the 5 ordinances.	City Council
11/7/2022 8:25 PM	MR Michael Keeshen	26 linkside court, isle of palms, South Carolina 29451	This note is written to express concern for your proposed ordinance #5. Our family has had property on the island for a half century. We have witnessed taxes go thru the roof- especially property taxes. the ability to recoup some of this expense is using the vacation rental system. Occasional short term rental is our only way of keeping property in the family. Please don't over restrict nor take this option away.	City Council
11/7/2022 7:17 PM	RICHARD SEDORY	8 Back Court, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	We live in and enjoy the amenities of Wild Dunes, and therefore strongly encourage and recommend that the City Council vote "yes" to approve proposed zoning amendments/ordinances to preserve recreation facilities and cap density in the Wild Dunes PRD. In particular, the critical nature of ordinance #5, which provides the actual cap on further development within the current 1975 PRD, is important to Wild Dunes and Isle of Palms residents and guests.	City Council
11/5/2022 5:38 PM	Mrs. Carol L Dawson	18 INTRACOASTAL CT, Isle of Palms, SC, Isle Of Palms, South Carolina 29451	My last submitted comment asked for a yes vote for the Conservation Recreation zoning, including the golf course land outside the Wild Dunes gates that borders my street Intracoastal Court. I was pleasantly surprised that a Councilperson replied and wondered how I felt about the other zoning ordinances. Thank you for asking! My husband and I both support all 5 zoning ordinances and ask for your YES vote on all 5 of them. Thank you. Carol Dawson A Dawson	City Council
11/1/2022 7:51 PM	Kenneth O McDowell	16 Fairway Village Lane, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Please support the Ordinance 2022-12, to protect Isle of Palms from overdevelopment. The Wild Dunes resort is in a position to ruin the quality of life in our community. Unrestrained development could destroy property values, overwhelm city services and overcrowd our streets. The purpose of our government is to protect the voters from unregulated actions of people who don't live here. Please do your job.	City Council

10/31/2022 11:07 PM	Ms. Patsy Ballou Hindma		IOP Residents are overwhelmingly in favor of passing all 5 of the proposed zoning ordinances to limit further development by the owners of Wild Dunes Resort. Anyone on Council or the Planning Commission who does not support these 5 ordinances needs to speak up now and explain to IOP Residents one or the other of 2 things: (1) What is your plan for limiting further development by Wild Dunes Resort if not by zoning ordinances? or (2) What are your plans for changing the IOP infrastructure to accommodate the additional development that will occur if the 5 ordinances are not approved? Don't go into Executive Session to discuss this and come out saying that no decisions were made while in Executive Session. If you don't support the 5 ordinances, you owe it to the IOP Residents to tell us why not, and what you would do if the ordinances are not approved.	City Council
10/31/2022 9:31 PM	Ms Heather Witheford	South Carolina 29451	As year round residents please vote to protect our island community and environment. Vote yes to provide the time to find the best way forward, a future that serves the good of all, and a path that will protect the environment and wildlife from unnecessary stressors. Vote yes — especially on ordinance #5 as it specifically prohibits further development — so that we can once again come together and accomplish great things, and with ample time to restore consensus and weigh those options wisely. thank you, Heather and Tom Witheford	City Council
10/31/2022 11:34 AM	william connelly	South Carolina 29451	Mayor Pounds; I have owned a home in Wild Dunes for over 20 years. Two or so years ago the Hotel group [Dart/ Lowe] overnight tore out the existing tennis courts with no notice to the greater community surrounding the courts. We immediately requested a meeting with the WDCA and the Hotel group to voice our concern. We were informed this was going to be a commercial employee parking lot. A meeting attended by the past president of WDCA and several of the hotel officers was arranged. I requested that the Hotel and WDCA produce the zoning studies for traffic and safety, environmental impact, etc. the hotel officers stated none were needed because the 1975 WD governing documents permitted this without any studies or community impute. The past WDCA president said WDCA has no authority over Hotel land. I went to the IOP zoning office and requested all permits pulled for the tennis court demolished and paving, none existed. I informed the IOP zoning office that the hotel intended pour over a half a football field of concrete and that at the very least SCDHEC should be involved. SCDHEC finally took note and the project was stopped pending permitting. That's the only stopgap that prevented this project. Again note the WDCA continued to insist they had no authority over hotel property and their use of the same. I continued over the years to attend WDCA meetings and spoke with suggestions on how the WDCA could prevent this dangerous parking lot design in the middle of a very busy neighborhood. All suggestions were meet with the same answer; only the Hotel controls their property. I retained an attorney and have a complaint in public nuisance ready to be filed. There is a huge loophole that was never intended in the 1975 WD documents regarding zoning. Mayor Pounds the Hotel group has been on notice regarding these issues for a long time and are exploiting this 1975 document to the detriment of the Island. Please reverse your vote. I am available with documentation should the IOP council wish further information. Thanks	City Council

10/20/2022 2.52 554	Mrs. Carell Daws	10 INTRACOACTAL CT Iclf	Halle Munage is Carel Daugen and His at 19 Interspectal Court. I had alapsed to south is at the Day Court. Transfer Man 1 Interspectation	City Council
10/30/2022 3:53 PM	Mrs. Carol L Dawson	18 INTRACOASTAL CT, Isle of Palms, SC, Isle Of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Hello. My name is Carol Dawson and I live at 18 Intracoastal Court. I had planned to say this at the Rec Center on Tuesday Nov. 1, but now I have the flu, so please enter my comments into the record. I have been coming to the IOP since I was 6 years old. My parents' beach home on Palm Blvd. was sold and a "McMansion" built. When my husband was close to retirement we wanted a quiet street, so we built a home on the back side of the island, outside the Wild Dunes gate, on Intracoastal Court. On one side of my street is the Harbor golf course, and on the other side is the Intracoastal Waterway. I love this island and I love where I live. Our street has 31 owners30 houses and 1 empty lot. I am in charge of the street directory. Approximately 2/3 of us on my street are IOP voters. The other 1/3 are interested citizens, but they live in other cities. And yes, we now have 3 Short Term Rental houses on the street. We communicate with group emails among ourselves about issueswe'd like our street pot holes fixed, but another issue is zoning. One neighbor is concerned over the aging club facilities inside the Wild Dunes gates but NOBODY has advocated for the destruction and development of the golf course. To those who say, what about a developer's property rightswell, things do change. I added a pool recently, only to find out that the % of permeable land required had changed. I had to remove my entire large cement driveway. Also, I couldn't just put the pool where I wantedthere were surveys, set backs, permits, restrictionslots of rules had to be obeyed. That new sewage plant is at the end of my street, right across on Waterway Blvd. Down Waterway Blvd are those massive engineering water projects. We have water issues and I read the island only has 4.4 square miles of land. We need green space, we need trees, we need vegetation! We've discussed this enough. COUNCILplease vote yes to Conservation Recreation Zoning.	·
10/29/2022 5:35 PM	Mrs. Deborah Ann Elliot	9 Palm Ct., Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Good evening! Please I would like to register my support of the five ordinances that are being presented to the Mayor and city council. Due to a travel commitment, I am unable to attend hearing on November 1 but clearly wish to state my opinion. I am a full time resident with my husband at 9 Palm Ct, having lived here since December 2010. I am a nurse, not a rocket scientist but I am horrified, perhaps even scared by the ramifications of climate change and the massive development up and down the east coast! The most recent Hurricane Ian hit the Fort Myers coast with a costly vengeance and even with a significant lead time to the event, the outcomes to life and property were catastrophic. So many fingers to point but one must recognize that overdevelopment in a vulnerable area was a major contributor. Please vote for managed growth and development that is coupled with worst case scenario disaster planning. As of now, going to Target, Harris Teeter or church on a weekend for 6 months of the year is challenging at best. Thank you for being our leaders and insuring a quality of life for us residents. My best regards, Deborah Elliott	City Council

7/2022 12:02 PM	Mrs. Sarah Vega	104 Sparrow Drive, Isle of	"The effectiveness of data and analysis in decision making depends on more than just technical competency; it also depends on the ethical	City Counc
		Palms, South Carolina 29451	integrity with which analysis is performed and presented. Ethics in analysis requires full disclosure of the purpose of the analysis, and all the	
			biases, trade-offs, and shortfalls encountered along the way. Analysts should not hide facts, change data, falsify results, or consider only	
			data that support a favored conclusion. Analysts should also fully report the sources of their data, data collection methodologies, and any	
			possible gaps and shortfalls, and they should assess the impact of such shortcomings on their findings."	
			Those are not my own words. They are taken straight from my research methods textbook, a core resource for the Master of Public	
			Administration degree program in which I am enrolled. For my undergraduate degree, I also took multiple courses in quantitative methods	
			and marketing research, which all emphasized integrity. No matter the discipline, guiding principles of research include being honest,	
			objective, accurate, and complete. I would argue they matter the most in public service.	
			You have been elected to serve all residents, taken an oath, and agreed to a code of conduct, yet this council's discussion on Short Term	
			Rental data on October 25 largely ignored the need for integrity when analyzing data intended to inform policy.	
			I would like to start by saying that it was not wrong of you to ask for more clarity on who participated in the 3 listening sessions. Validity	
			matters, and I know you want to put residents first, so you should absolutely do what you can to correct the omission of this critical data. I	
			believe, contrary to what Desiree indicated, that you can actually confirm what portion of participants were full-time residents, STR	
			owners/managers, 2nd homeowners, or some other category. My understanding is that all participants signed in when attending. While it	
			might be a tedious exercise, city staff should be able to use sign-in sheets to cross-reference registration data—in which people indicated	
			which category they belonged to—and provide you with a breakdown so you can clearly understand whose priorities are represented. I	
			hope you will direct city staff to do so.	
			I found it extremely troubling, however, that while these listening sessions were facilitated by a professional business with nothing to win or	
			lose from the outcome, and while you were provided both summary data as well as a verbatim manuscript of what was written by each	
			group, many of you who spoke were quick to attack the methodology and validity of the data. This same professional moderator indicated	
			to you all that 70-80% of listening session participants, his estimate based off show of hands at the sessions, were full-time residents. That's	
			98-112 people, your constituents, whose opinions are reflected in the session summaries. We had a council member cite a truthful number	
			about the recent growth—200 STR licenses—yet fail to acknowledge the whole truth until it was called out as a possibility that at least a	
			portion of that increase is a direct result of this STR policy even being considered. How do we know this possibility is actually part of the	
			whole truth? At the first listening session, a gentleman literally stood up holding his rental license and indicated that he only had it because	
			of this policy being under consideration. Sharing that data point with integrity means acknowledging that we need to seek out a breakdown	
			of how many of those new license holders are actively marketing their properties as STRs, instead of using the data point to incite fear that	
			we've already "flipped" and further the narrative of STRs as the biggest villain in our community. We had a council member specifically	
			citing something that was only "heard" about the level of participation from Wild Dunes employees. We had several council members speculating about people's motivations to attend a listening session, with no data to back it up. Asking questions about data presented is an	
			important part of analysis; doing it in a biased way is not. Interestingly enough, only one council member out of all those who spoke	
			thought it important to question the validity of a survey conducted by BIPA. The survey was cited by multiple council members as being part	
			of the "public comments" document available online as a supplement to the agenda, yet I don't see the data publicly available anywhere. I	
			have downloaded the comments document, skimmed each comment in search of survey data, and searched the document using the	
			keyword "survey" to no avail. The numbers that I heard quoted in the audio recording were 340 emails sent, 29% response rate, and 69% of	
			that 29% in favor of a cap. While 29% may indeed be "great" when it comes to response rates for surveys, the calculation comes out to 98.6	
			people in favor of a cap.	

			Again, the listening sessions had 140 participants combined, and 98-112 of those are estimated to have been full-time residents. Because methodology and validity of data are being called into question for the listening sessions, I implore you to ask the same questions of the BIPA survey. How was the sample chosen (who received an email – their whole mailing list, a random sample, some other methodology) and how many of those who responded are full-time residents versus one of the other categories mentioned above? I do apologize if you as a council have this information via the survey data you've seen, but it was not articulated verbally during your meeting for me to use as a reference point. I encourage you to correct the omission of this data on our city website so that the public can actually see what you're seeing and provide you with more informed feedback. My ultimate request is for you to not apply a high level of scrutiny to one set of data if you are unwilling to do the same for all data being examined as you move toward a policy decision on this very controversial issue. Thank you.	
10/26/2022 11:33 PM	mr raymond A jenkins	8 OYSTER ROW, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Pass the 5 Ordinances.	City Council
10/24/2022 11:02 PM	Nancy J Smith	16 Lake Village Lane, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	 If we fail to pass these amendments we cede our ability to control the Resorts' continued overdevelopment. More time is not in Homeowners' interest. Vote to adopt the amendments asap. The immediate risk is approximately 400 more units (1,2,3+ bedrooms) being built in Wild Dunes, replacing smaller, recreational buildings. This will result in construction barricades, pile drivers, dump trucks, sand removal and cement and asphalt trucks. New units will necessitate improvements to existing infrastructure – a new bridge, more sanitation and postal workers, new sewage treatment facilities and water and drainage programs, additional firehouses and more fire and police officers. Why should our taxes pay for that which we don't need? In order that the Resort maximize its profits. Just say no. Long term risk is that this is just the tip of the iceberg. What started as a lovely hotel, The Boardwalk Inn, mushroomed into the Villages while we all walked and jogged by and worried about the colors and the size of the building. Next came the monstrosity which is the Sweetgrass Hotel and Residences with a water park on one side (with piped in music from 8 AM every day) and a sweeping driveway up to a big hotel on a BIG HILL – a man made hill – instead of the flat land and fitness center that was there. Every inch of Resort owned property will be monetized, There are dollar signs on the dunes and the golf courses – certainly the tennis court weren't pulling their weight. So they turned into a parking lot. Stop the destruction of our paradise. We bought homes to be on The Isle of Palms NOT at a massive wedding venue. When we die we want to hear music from our own soundtracks, not from someone else's wedding. 	City Council
10/24/2022 7:06 PM	Mr Roger B Privette	34 Morgan Creek Dr, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Please vote yes for ordinances #08,09,10, 11 and 12 currently being considered. We certainly do not want to ruin the beauty of this small piece of heaven! In addition I don't see how WD and IOP could stand much more people and vehicle traffic! Please add this email to the citizens comments.	City Council
10/24/2022 5:13 PM	Mrs Lizbeth W Privette	34 Morgan Creek Drive, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	Please vote YES on all 5 ordinances (2022-08, 09, 10, 11, 12) when this email comes up for a vote. I respectfully request that you include this email in the citizen's comments.	City Council

10/24/2022 4:33 PM	Kathy Lubin	20 Oyster Row, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	On Friday, October 21st, I left my house on Oyster Row at 11:40 for a 12:00 reservation at Acme Low Country Kitchen. I picked up a friend on Oyster Row and another one on Barnacle. We were 10 minutes late for our reservation because traffic was so backed up on Palm heading towards 41st that we literally inched our way to the stop sign. And it wasn't much better once we made the dog leg turn off of 41st. There was no garbage or recycling pick up. There were no contractor trucks slowing traffic on Palm. It was just volume. In October. I had to leave my 81 and 82 year old friends at the door and park in the county lot because there were no spots at the restaurant. On a Friday, in October, at noon (well 12:10). I am thrilled for our businesses to be busy and root for the success of our local businesses as well as the resort. But this beautiful, tiny island can NOT handle any more major development. It simply can not handle it. I have only been coming here for twelve years. I can not imagine how heartbreaking this is for our long time neighbors and natives of Isle of Palms. Please do what you can to stop the overdevelopment of Wild Dunes and Isle of Palms. Our corporate neighbors in Wild Dunes have not been good neighbors and we can not give them the chance to ruin this island. They have proven they have no regard for the commitments they have already made to be good stewards of the land. They continue to violate the noise ordinance. They are dismissive of resident feedback. They promised to set up shuttles for employees to prevent traffic, overcrowding and parking limitations on IOP but only a very very small percentage of their 800-900 employees utilize that shuttle and that is because they are mostly J1 and H2B employees in corporate apartments off premises. The rest of the employees are on the connector and on Palm along with resort and convention guests. It is too much. And it needs to stop.	City Council
10/24/2022 4:11 PM	Leigh Ann Byrd	P.O. Box 594, Isle of Palms, South Carolina 29451	As a tourist from 1988 to 2002 and now long time owner/resident in the Residences at Sweetgrass, I am totally against any more development in Wild Dunes. Arriving here on a family vacation was always a delight as we checked into island life with elbow room, the beach, the quiet island and Charleston 20 minutes away! Do not forget this as families coming now still come to refresh, relax, enjoy recreation and spread out with our island nature and adventures. A balance is now achieved with the new Sweetgrass Inn where visitors have a plaza hub of quality choices for activity, yet also can easily escape to spaces dedicated to island native environment, i.e. something for everyone. Every amenity we have is used and valued and should not be eliminated for more condos/hotels! The Wild Dunes Resort Strategic Plan was made long ago before a connector to the island. We share the Island with permanent residents that deserve Wild Dunes to accept the current balance in development and say no to any more condos, hotels, etc. All visitors must travel all the way to us affecting quality of life for island residents, for us, + traffic and people issues before they even check in! The Sweetgrass Inn, Village, BWI, all villas and homes provide a perfect blend for memories. All green space still left balances native wildlife and honors this island's heritage. Quality choices are maxed out! NO MORE DEVELOPMENT! I am asking IOP Council to absolutely vote NO at the November 1st meeting to adding any more Wild Dunes development with it's resulting crowding, parking, security, noise, and destruction issues to this precious island environment and watershed. I also say No to removing current amentities such as golf/tennis clubhouses, owners pool, etc. Remodel, repurpose update, re-envision instead. Respectfully submitted, Leigh Ann Byrd.	City Council

10/24/2022 4:10 PM Beverly Miller	3 Fairway Village Lane, Isle of	I write today on behalf of Barrier Island Preservation Alliance (BIPA). In July, BIPA sent a survey to subscribers on Isle of Palms regarding	City Council
	Palms, South Carolina 29451	Short-Term Rentals (STR). Approximately 340 emails were sent, and we had a 29% response rate. Given that tonight's October 25 agenda	
		includes a presentation by Rick Bradley reporting on the city's STR listening sessions, we want to share the results for the public record.	
		Here are the results for those questions that were quantifiable:	
		Respondent data:	
		-91.5% of all respondents are full-time residents. The remainder are either part-time residents (second homes) or nonresident owners of	
		STRs in the city.	
		o 85.7% of full-time residents live in a neighborhood with short-term rentals	
		-11.8% of all respondents are owners of STRs on IOP, with the majority actively renting and a few intend to obtain a rental license this year oSTRs owned were split fairly evenly among Wild Dunes, South of Connector, and North of Connector (Total number was too low to be	
		a material consideration)	
		Regarding the current STR Ordinance: Is a revision needed at this time? (Question 9)	
		-67.4% said Yes, a revision is needed; 20.7% said No, and 12% were Undecided	
		Given that the surrounding coastal communities are all imposing restrictions/limitations on STRs, should Isle of Palms also place restrictions at this time? (Question 11)	
		-72.3% said Yes, restrictions are needed, 18.1% said No, and 9.6% were Undecided	
		Regarding a cap on STRs: Should there be a cap? (Question 13)	
		-68.8% want a cap on the number of STR units in the city; 16.1% do not want a cap, and 15% are undecided	
		Should the number of STR units be limited in certain neighborhoods or zone areas? (Question 15)	
		-58.7% said Yes, 28.3% said No, and 13% were Undecided	
		Should full-time residents who rent their homes for less than 72 days of the year and who still qualify for the 4% property tax rate be	
		exempt from any STR cap? (Question 17)	
		-34.4% said Yes, 51.6% said No, and 14% were Undecided	
		The remainder of the questions required "Open Text" answers. The following general concerns were fairly consistent throughout several of	
		these questions:	
		-Noise	
		-Litter	
		-Number of occupants	
		-Lack of enforcement of STR rules now in place, with regard to the above	
		-Number of cars per rental property, resulting in traffic congestion and parking irregularities	
		-Rental units are encroaching on historically low STR areas	
		oKeep rentals in the commercial zones near front beach	
		-Strain on public works and public safety	
		The following is a sampling of the considerations that should be given if STRs are limited in some way:	
		-Minimum required stay (Limit rentals to weekly)	
		-Owners must ensure that renters are informed about, and abide by, community regulations	
		oClearly communicate and enforce the rules	
		olmpose stronger penalties for violations	
		olmpose tighter restrictions on rental bikes (especially e-bikes)	
		oBequire dog license purchase with a highly visible collar similar to Sullivan's Island	
		-Limit # of cars per rental property. Easy to do in Wild Dunes where gate passes have to be issued.	
		-Keep STRs in concentrated areas only near beach access	
		-Keep STRs in commercial areas only	
		-Property rights, with arguments on both sides:	
		oProperty values may decline if STR growth is not limited	
		off STRs are limited, it could impact the owner's potential future income	
		oSTRs bring valuable income to the city. Property owners deserve equal protection which these zones do not offer.	
		-Hire a full-time administrator to manage STRs.	
		All questions and complete respondent answers have been sent to the City Clerk, as I am unable to upload documents through this portal. I	
		respectfully request that the City Clerk include those documents as part of this Public Comment.	

10/24/2022 3:37 PM Gle	enda Nemes	3006 Cameron Blvd., Isle of	My day as a full time resident October 22, 2022	City Council
		Palms, South Carolina 29451		
			Today I "called in" illegal parking for over an hour in the lane of traffic on the road out front by three cars". 40 minutes later officer	
			Hammond responded. His decision was to ask 1 car to move and allow 2 cars to remain in road for over an hour because the drivers were in	
			the area. After he left, I am now listening to those drivers telling some people when asked that they were never doing anything wrong. IOP	
			police let them do what they want any time. Don't be concerned about iop police. They were off island professional paid drivers saying this.	
			Before he left, I asked the officer why he didn't send the drivers to Palm Blvd to park Legally and free and be called when the people were	
			ready to get in the car. He said parking in road was ok and not causing a problem. It was the solution he had chosen. I watched as golf carts,	
			cars and bikes, walkers and others walked in opposing lane of traffic the whole time. Cars or others had to stop when opposing traffic came	
			because of the parking the officer allowed in the lane of traffic which obviously was a safety issue. I could not have seen oncoming drivers ,	
			as would not several neighbors if coming in and out our driveways. The cars blocked mailboxes on a mail delivery day. There is a law about	
			parking in active lane of traffic for that reason.	
			I kindly asked officer why, as a resident, he could tell me, parking 3 cars in a lane of traffic for over an hour was ok and he said because	
			everyone was easily going around them. And they would move them if postal service came.	
			So the officer gets to pick and choose who and when the law applies. And endanger safety of all to "help out" 1 short term rental property	
			group who could care less about following our rules and laws. The drivers were for people in a rental house who had filled the property	
			driveway with 7 cars- the max the property could hold.	
			I hope you made note of lack of enforcement being the reason our citizen listening sessions overwhelmingly said Police needed to enforce	
			laws for livability/ safety. I don't find police approach acceptable as a resident. Only Council can see that our laws are enforced by officers	
			who don't reside here and many show little concern for our residents. Our own Police chief (who currently looks irresponsible for shooting	
			himself in our public safety building), stood in the listening session and heard "lack of enforcement " yet continues down same path.	
			Is this ak?	
			Is this ok?	